Samsung Research

In-Kernel Control-Flow Integrity on Commodity OSes using ARM Pointer Authentication

Sungbae Yoo^{1*}, Jinbum Park^{1*}, Seolheui Kim¹, Yeji Kim¹, Taesoo Kim^{1 2}

¹ Samsung Research

² Georgia Institute of Technology

* Primary Co-Authors



Problem: Memory Corruptions are Major Concern in OSes

- 189 memory unsafe CVEs in Linux from 2021 to 2022
- Common attack vector: code-reuse attacks



Promising Defense: Control-flow Integrity (CFI)

• Ensures control-flow transfers remain intact at runtime





State-of-the-art of CFI for Commodity OSes

- Type-based CFI • Google's #indirect calls 6k 55% have ≤ 5 targets 5k 4k Зk Still shows large number of allowed target 2k % have > 100 targets 1k→ Problem: too course-grained 0 9 1255 105, 16, 1, 97, 39, 6
- Hardware-based CFI
 - iOS Kernel, PARTS, PATTER

Examining Pointer Authentication on the iPhone XS Posted by Brandon Azad, Project Zero Posted by Brandon Azad, Project ZeroSeveral vulnerabilities to misuse HW

Our Approach: Fine-grained CFI with Hardware Support Samsung Research

- Key idea: Leveraging the common design idioms in OSes
- > Approach 1: Adopting the latest HW-based protection
- > Approach 2: Static validator to avoid mistakes



Key Enabler: ARM Pointer Authentication (PA)

- ARM PA ensures the integrity of pointers at runtime
- PAC signs a pointer



Key Enabler: ARM Pointer Authentication (PA)

- ARM PA ensures the integrity of pointers at runtime
- AUT checks the integrity of a pointer and restores the pointer



How to properly Set "context" for Better Precision?

- Naïve solution: using zero
 - # allowed targets : 30K in Linux
- Strawman solution: using type
 - Max. # allowed targets : 1K int (*) (struct platform_device *) in Linux



Attack Vectors: Replaying or Substitution

• Re-uses an indirect call with the same context



Solution: Using more Idiom in Kernel Objects

• An example of actual code in Linux



Solution: Using more Idiom in Kernel Objects

• Unique, Invariant, Movable (compatible with memcpy)



Two Other Attack Vectors: Forging and TOCTOU

- Forging attack
 - Generates a signed pointer using signing gadgets



- Time-of-check to time-of-use (TOCTOU)
 - Manipulates spilled and restored pointers before it uses



Problem: Complex Optimization Passes in Compiler

- Highly sophisticated modern compiler frameworks
 - Unpredictable produced binaries
 - Optimizations could spill out registers to memory



Static Validator: Correctness Check of the Final Binary

- 1. Complete protection
 - : All indirect branches have to be authenticated before use
- 2. No time-of-check-time-of-use (TOCTOU)
 - : Raw pointers after PA instructions are never stored back in memory
- 3. No signing oracle
 - : There must be no gadget that signs an attacker-chosen pointer
- 4. No unchecked control-flow change
 - : All direct modifications of program counter register must be validated

Problem: Preemption Hijacking Attack

- Attackers can occur preemption when they want in kernel
- Preemption context save/restore can be used as a signing oracle



Solution : Preemption Context Protection

- Whole preemption context signing via key-chaining technique
 - Prevents substitution attack to part of preemption context



Another Attack Vector : Brute-forcing in Kernel

• Enumerates all possible PA code bits (generally 2¹⁵)



inadequate for kernel Solution: If an attack is detected, just panicking giving delays with increasing exponentially

System Overview: PAL



Implementation

- Applied to Linux(Tizen, Apple M1 mini), FreeBSD
- PAL
 - GCC plugin (forward-edge) : 3,632 LoC (C++)
 - GCC (backward-edge) : 127 LoC changes
 - Static validator : 848 LoC (Python)
 - Context analyzer : 1943 Loc (C++)
- Infrastructure
 - Linux: 491 LoC changes
 - FreeBSD: 258 LoC changes

Evaluation – Comparing with other approaches

• Google's - Allowed targets for indirect calls



• iOS kernel – Indirect calls sharing the same context

| #contexts | iOS Kernel | PAL |
|-----------|------------|--------|
| ≤5 | 62.2 % | 94.9 % |
| >100 | 21.2 % | 0.0 % |
| Max | 6,513 | 70 |

Evaluation - Performance

- Micro-benchmark : LMBench
 - Latency: 0-3µs (median. 7%)

- Macro-benchmark : Apache
 - RPi3: 1.06%, Mac mini: 0.75%



• Binary increase

| | 5.12.0-rc-1/Mac mini | 4.19.49/RPi3 | FreeBSD/Qemu |
|----------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Stock | 123.5 MB | 19.9 MB | 5.9 MB |
| w/ PAL | 130.7 MB | 23.0 MB | 6.4 MB |
| Overhead | 7.2 / 5.8% | 3.1 / 15.6% | 0.5 / 8.5% |



- PAL is a new in-kernel CFI based on ARM PA
 - Leverage the common design idioms in OSes
 - Check the correctness of the final binary
- PAL considers kernel's characteristics such as preemption
- PAL is fully evaluated on real HW supporting ARM PA
 - Negligible overhead in most workloads

Samsung Research

Thank you

Contacts : <u>sungbae.yoo@samsung.com</u> / <u>ysbnim@gmail.com</u> <u>jinb.park@samsung.com</u> / <u>jinb.park7@gmail.com</u>

Source code (To be released) https://github.com/SamsungLabs/PALinux

